

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/879,719

Applicant(s)

Curtis

Examiner

Deborah Ware

Art Unit

1651



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Deborah Ware

(3) _____

(2) Stephen Eland

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 10, 2003Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: 1-27

Identification of prior art discussed:

Smith et al.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants' Representative submitted amendments after final for consideration by the examiner. These amendments will be considered for entry providing that they do not create any new issues after final. The remarks by Applicants' after final amendment were also discussed and the examiner informed Applicants while changes were discussed on January 9, 2003, the interview summary record reflects that the changes discussed were proposed by the Representative and examiner did provide input and suggested that the fluid be defined as a gas in some way in the claims. However, the examiner never indicated that any changes discussed would put the claims into condition for allowance but that any changes would be considered and if they did put the claims into condition for allowance they would be entered. The examiner will consider the proposed changes by Applicant and be in further contact with Applicant's Representative.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


DEBORAH K. WARE
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required